

Homework III, Topics in Theoretical Computer Science 2016

Due on Thursday April 28 at 16:00 (send an email to ola.svensson@epfl.ch). Solutions to many homework problems, including problems on this set, are available on the Internet, either in exactly the same formulation or with some minor perturbation. It is *not acceptable* to copy such solutions. It is hard to make strict rules on what information from the Internet you may use and hence whenever in doubt contact Ola Svensson. You are, however, allowed to discuss problems in groups with up to three students.

- 1 (35 pts, Exercises 8.1(b) and 8.1(c) from the textbook)
 - **1a** (25 pts) Prove that $IP \subseteq PSPACE$.
 - **1b** (10 pts) Let \mathbf{IP}' denote the class that has the same definition as \mathbf{IP} except that perfect completeness is enforced. Show that $\mathbf{IP} = \mathbf{IP}'$.
- 2 (25 pts, slightly adapted Exercise 8.5 from the textbook) In class we saw a (slightly) simplified version of Goldwasser-Sipser's **AM**[2] protocol for the set lower bound problem. However, the protocol did not have perfect completeness.

In this exercise, your goal is to give a $\mathbf{AM}[O(1)]$ protocol for the set lower bound problem with *perfect completeness*.

You may assume¹ the following: a random hash-function $h : X \to Y$ can efficiently be communicated such that for every $y \in Y$:

$$\Pr_h[\exists x \in X: h(x) = y] \geq \frac{1}{2} \qquad \text{if } |X| \geq |Y|.$$

Hint: First note that in the current set lower bound protocol we can have the prover choose the hash function. Consider the easier case of constructing a protocol to distinguish between the case $|S| \ge K$ and $|S| \le \frac{1}{c}K$ where $c \ge 2$ can even be a function of K (this can be achieved by taking the cartesian product of S a couple of times as done in the lecture). It c is large enough, we can allow the prover to use several hash functions h_1, \ldots, h_i , and you can prove that if i is large enough then we will have $\cup_i h_i(S) = Y$ in the case when $|S| \ge K$.

 $^{^{1}}$ For intuition of this assumption, please see the discussion about efficient pairwise independent hash functions in the textbook (Theorem 8.15 and Claim 8.16.1).

- 3 (20 pts, Exercise 8.6 from textbook) Prove that for every $\mathbf{AM}[2]$ protocol for a language L, if the prover and the verifier repeat the protocol k times in parallel and the verifier accepts only if all k copies accept, then the probability that the verifier accepts $x \notin L$ is at most $(1/3)^k$. Note that you cannot assume (without any arguments) that the prover answers the queries independently.
- 4 (20 pts, Exercise 8.8(a) from textbook) In this exercise we explore the trick used to prove $IP \subseteq PSPACE$ that I failed to explain well in the lecture. Let φ be QBF formula satisfying the following property:

If x_1, \ldots, x_n are φ 's variables sorted according to their order of (first) appearance, then for every variable x_i there is at most a single universal quantifier (\forall) involving x_j (for j > i) appearing before the last occurrence of x_i in φ .

Show that in this case, when we run the sumcheck protocol discussed in lecture (with the modification that we use the check $s(0) \cdot s(1) = K$ for product operations), the prover only needs to send polynomials of polynomial degree.